arXiv:1403.7033v1 [math.FA] 27 Mar 2014

A note on a Bohnenblust-Hille-Helson type inequality

Daniel Carando* Andreas Defant† Pablo Sevilla-Peris ‡

Abstract

We give a variant of the Bohenblust-Hille inequality which, for certain families of polynomials, leads to constants with polynomial growth in the degree.

1 Introduction

Hardy and Littlewood showed in [8] that there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for every $f \in H^1$ we have

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \leq K \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(w)| d\sigma(w),$$

where dm and $d\sigma$ denote respectively the normalised Lebesgue measures on the complex unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and the torus (or unit circle) $\mathbb{T}$. Equivalently, this means that the Hardy space $H_1(\mathbb{T})$ is contained in the Bergman space $B_2(\mathbb{D})$. Shapiro [13, p. 117-118] showed that the inequality holds with $K = \pi$ and Mateljević [11] (see also [12, 14]) showed that actually the constant could be taken $K = 1$. A simple reformulation of the Bergman norm then gives that if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is the Fourier series expansion of $f \in H^1(\mathbb{D})$ we have

$$\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n+1}\Big)^{1/2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(w)| d\sigma(w).$$

A few years later Helson in [10] generalised this inequality to functions in N variables. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $d(n)$ the number of divisors and by $p^\alpha = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$

*Departamento de Matematica - Pab I, Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1428 Buenos Aires (Argentina) and IMAS - CONICET (Argentina). Partially supported by CONICET-PIP 0624, PICT 2011-1456 and UBACyT Grant X038

†Institut für Mathematik. Universität Oldenburg. D-26111 Oldenburg (Germany). Supported by MICINN MTM2011-22417

‡Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada. Universitat Politècnica de València. 46022 Valencia (Spain). Supported by MICINN MTM2011-22417 and UPV-SP201207000

the prime decomposition of n. Then we have that for every $f \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^N)$ with Fourier series expansion $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^N} c_\alpha z^\alpha$

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^N} \frac{|c_\alpha|^2}{d(p^\alpha)}\Big)^{1/2} \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |f(w)|d\sigma(w); \tag{1}$$

note that $d(p^\alpha) = (\alpha_1+1)\cdots(\alpha_k+1)$ (we denote this by $\alpha+1$).

On the other hand, by the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality [4] as presented in [5] there is a constant $C>0$ such that for every m-homogeneous polynomial in N variables $P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ with $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$ we have

$$\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \le C^m \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N} |P(z)|. \tag{2}$$

The proof of this inequality given in [5] consists basically of two steps: first to decompose the sum in (2) as the product of certain mixed sums and second to bound each one of these sums by a term including $\|P\|$, the supremum of $|P|$ in $\mathbb{D}^N$. For this second step usually the following result of Bayart [1] is used: for every m-homogeneous polynomial in N variables we have

$$\Big(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} |c_\alpha|^2\Big)^{1/2} \le 2^{m/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \Big|\sum_{|\alpha|=m} c_\alpha w^\alpha\Big| d\sigma(w). \tag{3}$$

Very recently, it was proved in [2, Corollary 5.3] that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\kappa>0$ such that we can take $\kappa(1+\varepsilon)^m$ as the constant C in (2). Our aim in this note is to replace (3) by (1) to get a sort of variant of (2). With this variant, we see that for polynomials P each of whose monomials involve a uniformly bounded number of variables, the obtained constants have polynomial growth in m.

2 Main result and some remarks

The following is our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Lambda \subseteq \{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^N : |\alpha| = m\}$ be an indexing set. Then for every family $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ we have*

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda} \Big(\frac{|c_\alpha|}{\sqrt{\alpha+1}}\Big)^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \le m^{\frac{m-1}{2m}} \Big(1-\frac{1}{m-1}\Big)^{m-1} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N} \Big|\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda} c_\alpha z^\alpha\Big|.$$

We give several remarks before we present the proof.

Remark 2.2.

1. It is easy to see that $\sqrt{\alpha+1} \le \sqrt{2}^m$. Hence the preceding inequality includes the hypercontractive version of the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality from (2) as a special case.

2. Thanks to the term $\sqrt{\alpha+1}$, the constants in the previous inequality grow much slower than the constants in (2). Actually, we have

$$m^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}\big(1-\frac{1}{m-1}\big)^{m-1}=\frac{\sqrt{m}}{e}\,\big(1+o(m)\big).$$

3. Let $\mathrm{vars}(\alpha)$ denote the numbers of different variables involved in the monomial z^α. In other words, $\mathrm{vars}(\alpha)=\mathrm{card}\big\{j:\alpha_j\neq 0\big\}$. Given M we consider the set

$$\Lambda_{N,M}=\big\{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^N:\,|\alpha|=m \text{ and } \mathrm{vars}(\alpha)\leq M\big\},$$

(note that if $M\geq N$, then $\Lambda_{N,M}=\Lambda_{N,N}$). An application of Lagrange multipliers gives that for any $\alpha\in\Lambda_{N,M}$ we have for every N and M

$$\alpha+1=(\alpha_1+1)\cdots(\alpha_k+1)\cdots\leq\Big(\frac{m}{M}+1\Big)^M.$$

Combining this with Theorem 2.1 we obtain for every m, N, M

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{N,M}}|c_\alpha|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}&\leq\Big(\frac{m}{M}+1\Big)^{M/2}\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{N,M}}\Big(\frac{|c_\alpha|}{\sqrt{\alpha+1}}\Big)^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}\\&\leq\Big(\frac{m}{M}+1\Big)^{M/2}m^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}\Big(1-\frac{1}{m-1}\Big)^{m-1}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N}\Big|\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{N,M}}c_\alpha z^\alpha\Big|,\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{N,M}}|c_\alpha|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}\leq 2^{\frac{M}{2}}m^{\frac{M+1}{2}}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N}\Big|\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{N,M}}c_\alpha z^\alpha\Big|.\tag{4}$$

This means that for polynomials whose monomials have a uniformly bounded number M of different variables, we get a Bohnenblust-Hille type inequality with a constant of polynomial growth in m. We remark that the dimension N plays no role in this inequality, the only important point here is the number of different variables in each monomial. As a consequence, an analogue of (4) holds for m-homogeneous polynomials on c_0: Let $P:c_0\to\mathbb{C}$ be an m-homogeneous polynomial and

$$\Lambda_M=\{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}:\,|\alpha|=m \text{ and } \mathrm{vars}(\alpha)\leq M\}.$$

Then for every M and m

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_M}|c_\alpha(P)|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}\leq 2^{\frac{M}{2}}m^{\frac{M+1}{2}}\,\|P\|,$$

where the $c_\alpha(P)$ are the coefficients of P and $\|P\|$ is the supremum of $|P|$ on the unit ball of c_0.

4. The following theorem is a Banach lattice valued version of Theorem 2.1 inspired by the analog of (2) given in [6, Theorem 5.3].

Theorem 2.3. *Let Y be a q-concave Banach lattice (with $2 \le q < \infty$), X a Banach space and $v : X \to Y$ an $(r,1)$-summing operator (with $1 \le r \le q$). Define, for fixed m*

$$\rho = \frac{qrm}{q+(m-1)r}.$$

If $\Lambda \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N : |\alpha| = m\}$ is an indexing set then for every family $\left(c_\alpha\right)_{\alpha\in\Lambda} \subseteq X$ we have

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda}\Big(\frac{\|vc_\alpha\|_Y}{\sqrt{\alpha+1}}\Big)^\rho\Big)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \le \pi_{r,1}(v) M_q(Y) m^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \Big(1-\frac{1}{m-1}\Big)^{m-1} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N} \Big\| \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda} c_\alpha z^\alpha \Big\|_X .$$

For all needed notions and all needed notation we refer to [6] (see, e.g., also [7]). We here only remark that if $X = Y = \mathbb{C}$ and if the operator v is the identity on $\mathbb{C}$, then $q = 2$ and $r = 1$, and the preceding result is Theorem 2.1. The proof of Theorem 2.3 is a straighforward combination of the proof given for Theorem 2.1 (see the final section) and the arguments presented in [6, Theorem 5.3].

3 The proof

Let us fix some notation before we prove our main result. We are going to use the following indexing sets

$$\mathscr{M}(m,N) = \{\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1,\dots,i_m) : 1 \le i_j \le N,\ j = 1,\dots,m\}$$
$$\mathscr{J}(m,N) = \{\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathscr{M}(m,N) \in : 1 \le i_1 \le \cdots \le i_m \le N\}.$$

In $\mathscr{M}(m,N)$ we define an equivalence relation by $\boldsymbol{i} \sim \boldsymbol{j}$ if there is a permutation σ of $\{1,\dots,N\}$ such that $j_k = i_{\sigma(k)}$ for every k. With this, if $\left(a_{i_1,\dots,i_m}\right)$ are symmetric then we have

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m,N)} a_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{J}(m,N)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\in[\boldsymbol{i}]} a_{\boldsymbol{j}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{J}(m,N)} \operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\, a_{\boldsymbol{i}}.$$

Also, given $\boldsymbol{i} \in \mathscr{M}(m-1,N)$ and $j \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, for $1 \le k \le m-1$ we define $(\boldsymbol{i},_k j) = (i_1,\dots,i_{k-1},j,i_k,\dots,i_{m-1}) \in \mathscr{M}(m,N)$ (that is, we put j in the k-th possition, shifting the rest to the right).

There is a one-to-one correspondance between $\mathscr{J}(m,N)$ and $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N : |\alpha| = m\}$ defined as follows. For each $\boldsymbol{i}$ we define $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)$ by $\alpha_r = \operatorname{card}\{j : i_j = r\}$ (i.e. α_r counts how many times r comes in $\boldsymbol{i}$); on the other hand, given α we define $\boldsymbol{i} = (1,\overset{\alpha_1}{\dots},1,\dots,N,\overset{\alpha_N}{\dots},N) \in \mathscr{J}(m,N)$.

Each m-homogeneous polynomial on N variables has a unique symmetric m-linear form $L : \mathbb{C}^N \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $P(z) = L(z,\dots,z)$ for every z. If (c_α) are the coefficients of the polynomial and $a_{i_1,\dots,i_m} = L(e_{i_1},\dots,e_{i_m})$ is the matrix of L we have $c_\alpha = \operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\, a_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, where α and $\boldsymbol{i}$ are related to each other.

Finally, if α and $\boldsymbol{i}$ are related and $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ denotes the sequence of prime numbers, we will write $p^\alpha = p_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots p_N^{\alpha_N} = p_{i_1}\cdots p_{i_m} = p_{\boldsymbol{i}}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We follow essentially the guidelines of the proof of the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality as presented in [5]. First of all let us assume that $c_\alpha = 0$ for every $\alpha \notin \Lambda$; then we have

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda}\Big(\frac{|c_\alpha|}{\sqrt{\alpha+1}}\Big)^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} = \Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{J}(m,N)}\Big|\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\frac{a_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\sqrt{d(p_{\boldsymbol{i}})}}\Big|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}$$
$$= \Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m,N)}\frac{1}{\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]}\Big|\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\frac{a_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\sqrt{d(p_{\boldsymbol{i}})}}\Big|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}$$
$$= \Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m,N)}\Big|\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]^{1-\frac{m+1}{2m}}\frac{a_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\sqrt{d(p_{\boldsymbol{i}})}}\Big|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}.$$

We now use an inequality due to Blei [3, Lemma 5.3] (see also [5, Lemma 1]): for any family of complex numbers $(b_{\boldsymbol{i}})_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m,N)}$ we have

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m,N)}|b_{\boldsymbol{i}}|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \le \prod_{k=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}|b_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}|^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big)^{\frac{2}{m-1}}. \tag{5}$$

Using this and the fact that $\operatorname{card}[(\boldsymbol{i},_k\, j)] \le m\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]$ we get

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda}\Big(\frac{|c_\alpha|}{\sqrt{\alpha+1}}\Big)^{\frac{2m}{m+1}}\Big)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}}$$
$$\le \prod_{k=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}\Big|\operatorname{card}[(\boldsymbol{i},_k\, j)]^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}\frac{a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}}{\sqrt{d(p_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)})}}\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$
$$\le \prod_{k=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}\Big|\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}m^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}\frac{a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}}{\sqrt{d(p_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)})}}\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$
$$= m^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}\prod_{k=1}^{m}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\Big|\frac{a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}}{\sqrt{d(p_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)})}}\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

We now bound each one of the sums in the product. We use the fact that the coefficients $a_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ are symmetric. Also, if q divides $p_{i_1}\cdots p_{i_m} = p_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, then it also divides $p_{i_1}\cdots p_{i_m}p_j = p_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}$; hence $d(p_{\boldsymbol{i}}) \le d(p_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)})$ for every $\boldsymbol{i}$ and every j. This altogether gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\Big|\frac{a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}}{\sqrt{d(p_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)})}}\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{J}(m-1,N)}\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]^2\frac{|a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}|^2}{d(p_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)})}\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{J}(m-1,N)}\frac{|\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}|^2}{d(p_{\boldsymbol{i}})}\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Let us note that what we have here are the coefficients of an $(m-1)$-homogeneous polynomial in N variables, we use now (1) to conclude our argument

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{J}(m-1,N)}\frac{|\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\,a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}|^2}{d(p_{\boldsymbol{i}})}\Big)^{1/2}\\
&\qquad\le\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{\mathbb{T}^N}\Big|\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{J}(m-1,N)}\operatorname{card}[\boldsymbol{i}]\,a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}\,w_{i_1}\cdots w_{i_{m-1}}\Big|\,d\sigma(w)\\
&\qquad\le\int_{\mathbb{T}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big|\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}\,w_{i_1}\cdots w_{i_{m-1}}\Big|\,d\sigma(w)\\
&\qquad\le\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big|\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}z_{i_1}\cdots z_{i_{m-1}}\Big|\\
&\qquad=\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{D}^N}\Big|\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m-1,N)}a_{(\boldsymbol{i},k\,j)}z_{i_1}\cdots z_{i_{m-1}}y_j\Big|\\
&\qquad\le\Big(1+\frac{1}{m-1}\Big)^{m-1}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^N}\Big|\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda}c_\alpha z^\alpha\Big|,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from a result of Harris [9, Theorem 1] (see also [5, (13)]). This completes the proof. □

References

[1] F. Bayart. Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators. *Monatsh. Math.*, 136(3):203–236, 2002.

[2] F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda. The Bohr radius of the n-dimensional polydisk is equivalent to $\sqrt{(\log n)/n}$. *preprint*, 2014.

[3] R. C. Blei. Fractional Cartesian products of sets. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 29(2):v, 79–105, 1979.

[4] H. F. Bohnenblust and E. Hille. On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 32(3):600–622, 1931.

[5] A. Defant, L. Frerick, J. Ortega-Cerdà, M. Ounaïes, and K. Seip. The Bohnenblust-Hille inequality for homogeneous polynomials is hypercontractive. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):485–497, 2011.

[6] A. Defant, M. Maestre, and U. Schwarting. Bohr radii of vector valued holomorphic functions. *Adv. Math.*, 231(5):2837–2857, 2012.

[7] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge. *Absolutely summing operators*, volume 43 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[8] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. Some properties of fractional integrals. II. *Math. Z.*, 34(1):403–439, 1932.

[9] L. A. Harris. Bounds on the derivatives of holomorphic functions of vectors. In *Analyse fonctionnelle et applications (Comptes Rendus Colloq. Analyse, Inst. Mat., Univ. Federal Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1972)*, pages 145–163. Actualités Aci. Indust., No. 1367. Hermann, Paris, 1975.

[10] H. Helson. Hankel forms and sums of random variables. *Studia Math.*, 176(1):85–92, 2006.

[11] M. Mateljević. The isoperimetric inequality in the Hardy class H^1. *Mat. Vesnik*, 3(16)(31)(2):169–178, 1979.

[12] M. Mateljević. The isoperimetric inequality and some extremal problems in H^1. In *Analytic functions, Kozubnik 1979 (Proc. Seventh Conf., Kozubnik, 1979)*, volume 798 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 364–369. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[13] J. H. Shapiro. Remarks on F-spaces of analytic functions. In *Banach spaces of analytic functions (Proc. Pelczynski Conf., Kent State Univ., Kent, Ohio, 1976)*, pages 107–124. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 604. Springer, Berlin, 1977.

[14] D. Vukotić. The isoperimetric inequality and a theorem of Hardy and Littlewood. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 110(6):532–536, 2003.